



# **PingER End to End Internet measurements: what we learn**

### Les Cottrell<sup>SLAC</sup>,

Presented at the OARC/TechDay for the ICANN San Francisco March 7<sup>th</sup>, 2011







- How do we measure?
- Coverage
- What do we find?
  - Measure: Losses, RTT, Jitter, Unreachability
  - Derivations: Throughput, MOS, Directness of connections
- Relations to Human Development Indices
- Case Studies:
  - Africa and new undersea fibres
  - Fibre cut impacts
  - Egypt, Libya, Japan









### Monitors~70 in 23 countries – 4 in Africa

Beacons ~ 90

#### Remote sites (~740) – 50 African Countries

 $- \sim 99\%$  of world's population in monitored countries



Measure: RTT, jitter, loss, unreachability Derive: throughput, MOS, Directness of links



# Variation in RTT & Congestion

- Can use difference in min\_RTT and Avg\_RTT
- Or measure Inter packet variation to get jitter





### Losses



- Low losses are good.
- Losses are mainly at the edge, so distance independent
- Losses are improving exponentially, ~factor 100 in 12 years

- Best <0.1%: N. America, E. Asia, Europe, Australasia
- Worst> 1%:
- Africa & C. Asia





### Unreachability Example Pakistan

- An unreachable host doesn't reply to any pings.
- We chose a reliable host at SLAC (pinger.slac.stanford.ed u) and analyzed the unreachability of Pakistani hosts.



Unreachability from SLAC to Pakistani monitoring



Big problems with power, lack of oil, budgets etc.



an-00

an-01

lan-98

an-99

Jan-02

lan-03 lan-04

Africa in danger of falling even further behind. In 10 years at current rate Africa will be 150 times worse than Europe

Jan-06

Jan-07 Jan-08 Jan-10

Jan-09

an-05



# Mean Opinion Score



- Used in phone industry to decide quality of call
- *MOS* = *function(loss, RTT, jitter)*
- 5=perfect, 1= lowest perceived audible quaity



### **Correlation with Social Activity**

 Between SLAC and Taxila U in Pakistan. Can correlate performance with activities



10



# **Directness of Connection**



- The speed of light in fibre is roughly 0.66\*c
  - 'c' = speed of light in vacuum i.e. 299,792,458 m/s
- Using 300,000 km/s as 'c' this yields:
  - RTD[km]=Alpha\*min\_RTT[ms]\*100[km/ms]
- Alpha is a way to derive Round Trip Distance (RTD) between two hosts (using minimum RTT).
- Or if we know the RTD
  - Large values of *Alpha* close to one indicate a direct path.
    Small values usually indicate a very indirectly routed path.
- This assumes no queuing and minimal network device delays.





#### **Normalized TCP Throughput in 2010** vs. UN Human Development Index (HDI)

#### **UNDP HDI:**

- A long and healthy life, as measured by life expectancy at birth
- Knowledge as measured by the adult literacy rate (with 2/3 weight) and the combined primary, secondary and tertiary growth enrollment ratio (with 1/3 weight)
- A decent standard of living, as measured by GDP per capita



A Clear Correlation Between the UNDP HDI and the Throughput

# Why does Fibre matter: Satellite & Min-RTT for Africa GEOS (Geostationary Earth Orbit Satellite)

- - good coverage, but expensive in \$/Mbps
    - broadband costs 50 times that in US, >800% of monthly salary c.f. 20% in US
  - AND long delays min RTT > 450ms which are easy to spot
  - N.b. RTTs > 250ms v. bad for VoIP



#### What is happening 2008 Up until July 2009 only one submarine fibre optic cable to sub-Saharan Africa (SAT3) costly (no competition) & only W. Coast 2010 Football World Cup => scramble to provide fibre optic connections to S. Africa, both E

2012

African Undersea Cables (2012)

• Multiple providers = competition

& W Coast

 New Cables: Seacom, TEAMs, Main one, EASSy, already in production
 manypossibilities.net/african-undersea-cables



## Impact: RTT etc.



- As sites move their routing from GEOS to terrestrial connections, we can expect:
  - Dramatically reduced Round Trip Time (RTT), e.g. from 700ms to 350ms – seen immediately
  - Reduced losses and jitter due to higher bandwidth capacity and reduced contention – when routes etc. stabilized
- Dramatic effects seen in leading Kenyan & Ugandan hosts
- RTT improves by factor 2.2
- Losses reduced
- Thruput ~1/(RTT\*sqrt(loss)) up factor 3



- Angola step mid-May, more stable
- Zambia one direction reduce 720>550ms
  - Unstable, still trying?
- Tanzania, also dramatic reduction in losses
- Uganda inland via Kenya, 2 step process
- Many sites still to connect





600 n

# Impact of Fibre cuts Dec 2008

- Not only for competition
- Need redundancy
- Mediterranean Fibre cuts
  - Jan 2008 and Dec 2008
  - Reduced bandwidth by over 50% to over 20 countries
- New cable France-Egypt Sep 1 '10

10000mmsthe last 10 Days Source "pinger.slac.stanford.edu" To Desti@St COMMEGTION 200=>400msms



Derived TCP Throughput in kbits/sec from SLAC to Hosts in Countries Affected by the Mediterranean Fibre Cable Cuts December 2008



SLACE NATIONAL ACCELERATOR LABORATORY

## Recent Internet shutdowns

 SLAC lost connectivity to the National Authority for Remote Sensing and Space Science (NARSS) in Cairo between 11:30 pm Jan 27, and midnight 30 minutes later



 NAARS could be seen again from SLAC between midnight and 1:00am February 7th, 2011





## Japanese Earthquake



- SLAC monitors 6 Japan hosts
  - None went down
  - 3 RTTs had big RTT increase









- Monitoring from host at RIKEN
  - All Japanese hosts have constant RTT
- Monitoring sites around world looking at RIKEN:
  - No effect: from Africa, E. Asia, Europe, L. America, M. East
  - Big effect from N. America to RIKEN
    - Canada 163ms=>264ms, US 120ms=>280ms
  - India CDAC Mumbia no effect, Pune 380ms=> 460ms, VSNL Mumbia 360ms=>400ms
  - Sri Lanka no effect
  - Pakistan depends on ISP
- It depends on the route, westbound from US OK, Eastbound big increases



# **More Information**



- By the way; the PingER measurement engine was IPv6 compliant back in 2003
- We are working on the analysis, presentation etc.
- PingER Home site
  - http://www-iepm.slac.stanford.edu/pinger/site.html
- Annual report:
  - <u>http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/icfa/icfa-net-paper-jan11/report-jan11.doc</u>
- Case Studies:
  - <u>https://confluence.slac.stanford.edu/display/IEPM/PingER</u>

# Compare PingER with ICT Development Index (IDI) from ITU

- IDI = ICT readiness + usage + skills
- Readiness (infrastructure access)
  - phone (cell & fixed) subscriptions, international BW,
     %households with computers, and % households with
     Internet access
- Usage (intensity of current usage)
  - % population are Internet users, %mobile, and fixed broadband users
- Skills (capability)
  - Literacy, secondary & tertiary education

www.itu.int/ITU-D/ict/publications/idi/2009/material/IDI2009\_w5.pdf



# PingER throughput & IDI

- Positive correlation between PingER throughput & IDI, especially for populous countries
- PingER
   measurements
   automatic
- No army of data gatherers & statisticians
- More up to date
  - IDI 2009 index of for 2007 data
- Good validation
- Anomalies interesting

